UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,248	11/08/2001	William Russell Belknap	SVL920010059US 5036	
23373 7590 02/20/2008		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BONSHOCK, DENNIS G	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,		2173	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

MN

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	09/986,248	BELKNAP ET AL.				
interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DENNIS G. BONSHOCK	2173				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>DENNIS G. BONSHOCK</u> .	(3)					
(2) Quadeer Ahmed.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.	·					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>independents</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Jones et al.</u>						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊡ was not reached. h)⊠ N	/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative questioned the reasoning to which claims 6, 8, 18, 27, and 28 remained rejected under 112, to this the examiner discussed the use of the negative limitation in the claim without a particular embodiment in the specification limiting the second order to not be dictated by the order of receipt. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims						
allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		7				
	•					
·						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's eignature, if requir	ed				

Attachment to a signed Office action.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)